





cardiovascular imaging in heart valve disease in

adults: a European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging report of literature

review and current practice

John B. Chambers¹⁰, Madalina Garbi², Koen Nieman³, Saul Myerson⁴, Luc A. Pierard⁴, Gilbert Habib^{6,7}, Jose Luis Zamorano⁸, Thor Edvardsen⁹, and Patrizio Lancellott^{16,11}

This do

tratzio Lancellotti¹⁰⁰⁰ tartzio Lancellotti¹⁰⁰⁰ mens Committee: Dr Victoria Delgado, Prof. Bernard Goym, Prof. Env. J. Or Raucz Dulgheru, Dr Mauricio Galdersi, Dr Massimo Lombardi, Dr Ja Muranu, Dr Philips Kauffmann, Prof. Nuno Cardim, Assoc. Prof. Kristina an Dr Ranhard Rosenhek.



Introduction

However, CMR and CT are used when the echo images are suboptimal or to obtain complementary information in aiding risk assessment of patients.

The review aims to:

Summarize current evidence for state-of-the-art clinical practice on the appropriate use criteria of CV imaging in heart valve disease in adults









(I) Detection of VHD

3

Echocardiography (TTE) is indicated:

In the absence of murmur but *in high-risk* groups

- 1. Atrial fibrillation (12-21% found with sig. VHD)
- 2. Breathless or chest pain of potential cardiac origin
- 3. First-degree relative of bicuspid AV (10% risk)
- 4. Conditions with known risk of VHD (eg: Marfan, Turners, SLE)
- 5. Pregnant women from countries with high incidence of rheumatic fever
- 6. Prior to CABG to detect & quantify mitral regurgitation
- 7. High-dose radiation exposure (L breast CA, Hodgkin's)
- 8. High-dose drugs known to cause VHD (5-HT $_{\rm 2B}$ receptor)





(I) Detection of VHD

Echocardiography is NOT indicated:

- 1. General population screening
- 2. Screening based on age alone (or condition specific such as Hip fracture)
- 3. Low dose dopamine agonists used for treating macroprolactinoma)

CT and CMR are NOT indicated:

- For routine detection or screening due to cost, availability, lack of portability
- Radiation exposure in CT



3

II) Assessment of Valves & Others

Echocardiography (TTE) remains the <u>mainstay</u> <u>modality</u> for assessing *valve morphology & etiology*, and to diagnose *hemodynamic severity*.

Preference of Echo modalities in scenarios:

- 1. 3D Echo is better to assess extent of *MVP* and MVA in *MS*
- 3D derived LVOT cross-sectional area to calculate AVA (continuity equation) if there is a discrepancy between gradient and orifice size









(II) Assessment of Aorta

Echocardiography is indicated:

- 1. Assessing aortic root & proximal aorta if *feasible* (not distal asc. and desc. aorta)
- 2. Detect coarctation
- 3. Serial assessment of a dilated aorta (not TEE even if is more sensitive due to invasiveness)

CT or CMR are indicated:

- 1. At baseline, to confirm Echo measurements
- 2. After confirmation, can do serial Echo if
- window is optimal & adequate 3. Repeat as threshold for surgery approaches



3



- 1. Assess risk of events in AS based on: Vmax > 5m/s or Vmax increases by > 0.3 m/s per year
- 2. Exercise stress Echo in asymptomatic AS
- 3. Aortic Valve Calcification (by CT) may be incorporated















(V) Intervention for VHD

Pre-op Echocardiography is indicated:

- 1. To confirm VHD, LV and RV adaptations
- 2. To refine & plan for surgery or intervention
- 3. TTE and TEE are mainstay (3D for valve anatomy)
- 4. CT or CMR to assess anatomy & size of aorta
- 5. CT better for visualization of calcification & planning

Intra-op TEE is essential for:

- Confirms good function, no leak - Exclude complications



3



3. Thereafter, do Echo when there are symptoms or signs of dysfunction or clinical suspicion of IE









